

CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas											CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas																
	Student								Course					Instructor								Additional Questions					
Total	1. I took this course				1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree				1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree					1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree													
21	a. purely as an elective	b. to meet an all-college requirement	c. to satisfy a major or minor requirement	d. to satisfy an elective within a major or minor requirement	2. Approximately how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?	3. Before taking this course, I was interested in the subject.	4. I attended class regularly.	5. I was consistently prepared for class.	6. Please reflect on your performance as a student (level of engagement, working to potential, active participation):	7. The course objectives were clearly stated.	8. The course stimulated my interest in the subject.	9. The course challenged me.	10. I believe I learned a great deal in this course.	11. Please reflect on the strengths of the course and areas for improvement. Your comments may include multiple aspects of the course: class discussions, labs or demonstrations, lectures, readings, case studies, creative work, specific assignments, etc.	12. The instructor made effective use of class time.	13. The instructor provided opportunities in class for questions, comments, and/or reflection.	14a. Feedback provided by the instructor was valuable.	14b. Feedback provided by the instructor was timely.	15. The instructor was accessible outside of class.	16. Overall, the instructor was effective in teaching this class.	17. Please explain your overall rating of the instructor in question 16, including the instructor's strengths and opportunities for improvement:						
	Response rate = 84.00%				6.60	4.29	4.14	4.14		4.29	4.10	3.86	4.24		3.86	4.67	4.14	3.95	4.29	4.14	<-Mean						
	Med				6	5	4	4		4	4	4	4		4	5	4	4	5	4	<-Median						
	Ct/Tot				13/21	10/21	1/21	2/21	1.65 Avg. hours studied/ credit hour (4)																		
		0	0	1	0	4.5	5	5	5	I participated in this class but maybe was not challenged as much as I would have liked. I tried to participate as much as I could but sometimes would find myself not engaged, which I could have changed.	5	4	3	3	I liked the readings of the course but thought there could be more teacher instruction as well as more stimulating questions to prompt class discussion.	4	5	5	5	5	4	I liked this class and Gokhan is a great professor, however I think more instruction rather than solely reflection of articles would have improved the course a lot!					
	1	1	0	0	4	4	4	3	My engagement could definitely have been better. I found a lot f times my voice and my opinion just got lost in the midst of everyone else	4	4	5	4	I think less reading and more emphasis on everybody participating in class.	3	5	4	2	3	3	We would get distracted really easily during class and sometimes it was hard to understand the point he was making. But overall he was a really fun teacher and the class was interesting.						
	1	0	0	0	4	4	5	4	I actively participated in both small and big group discussion. I worked hard on my reading responses, paper and studying for the test. I was engaged in all of our discussions.	5	4	4	4	I thought the discussion focus of the class was perfect for the class. There were a lot of readings to do with the responses but it was nothing out of control. I liked the small group to big group discussions. I like how videos were incorporated into our discussions.	4	5	5	5	5	5	Gokhan is a great professor and really makes it easy to come to class and be in a comfortable learning environment. My only suggestion would be for him to add more to discussion or try to make us think beyond the scope of our articles. I think we understood the themes of the class and issues but can we understand beyond that. His valuable knowledge would have been great to draw from on these issues.						
	1	0	0	0	4	5	4	5	...	5	5	5	5	Assign less readings, I was spending way more time than was necessary reading material that was redundant and restated concepts we had already gone over.	5	5	5	3	5	5	Gokhan is a fantastic instructor who keeps class lively and really sparks interest in the class in discussion and general motivation.						
	1	0	0	0	9	4	4	3	The course was hard to be prepared for. There were many readings and they were mostly dense. We also had to right summaries of the readings after class. These were easy to do, but it was difficult to manage it with other classes. As for classes I was prepare for them and I thought I was active than majority of people in class. I made effort to say at least one thing during class.	4	5	4	4	The class discussions were very fun. I use the word fun because they were informative in a way that I never thought about, but also they were interesting.	4	4	4	5	5	4	The professor was very good about teaching the class. He knew a lot about the subject and he could relate to a lot of the readings. One thing I think the professor could work on is the style of class. I felt that the class was very reading focused rather than discussion.						
	1	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	I engaged in class discussion on a weekly basis.	4	4	4	4	I didn't like how class was structured. I wish we hadn't done the same exact thing in class everyday.	4	4	4	4	4	4	Professor made class fun and didn't pressure the students.						
	0	1	0	0	10	5	5	5	I performed well as a student in this class. I was always prepared for class by doing all of the assigned readings and corresponding responses. In class I participated regularly in class discussion and did well on exams.	4	5	4	5	I thought that the course was well structured in terms of it being primarily discussion and student based. There were a lot of readings in some cases but I thought that doing reflections each day for the readings was helpful in understanding them better. I liked the critical essay at the end of the course reflecting course materials and personal experience. One recommendation I would make is to include a little more lecture or input on some of the topics that extends beyond our interpretations and opinions to gain greater insight into the field of education.	4	5	4	4	5	5	The instructor did a good job in teaching the course. He was engaging and prompted good class discussion. The one thing I would suggest for improvement would be a couple lecture days but overall he was effective in teaching the class, made it interesting and helped us to learn a great deal.						

CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas														CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas														
	Student									Course					Instructor								Additional Questions					
Total	1. I took this course				1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree					1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree					1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree													
21	a. purely as an elective	b. to meet an all-college requirement	c. to satisfy a major or minor requirement	d. to satisfy an elective within a major or minor	2. Approximately how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?	3. Before taking this course, I was interested in the subject.	4. I attended class regularly.	5. I was consistently prepared for class.	6. Please reflect on your performance as a student (level of engagement, working to potential, active participation):	7. The course objectives were clearly stated.	8. The course stimulated my interest in the subject.	9. The course challenged me.	10. I believe I learned a great deal in this course.	11. Please reflect on the strengths of the course and areas for improvement. Your comments may include multiple aspects of the course: class discussions, labs or demonstrations, lectures, readings, case studies, creative work, specific assignments, etc.	12. The instructor made effective use of class time.	13. The instructor provided opportunities in class for questions, comments, and/or reflection.	14a. Feedback provided by the instructor was valuable.	14b. Feedback provided by the instructor was timely.	15. The instructor was accessible outside of class.	16. Overall, the instructor was effective in teaching this class.	17. Please explain your overall rating of the instructor in question 16, including the instructor's strengths and opportunities for improvement:							
Mean	Response rate = 84.00%				6.60	4.29	4.14	4.14		4.29	4.10	3.86	4.24		3.86	4.67	4.14	3.95	4.29	4.14	<-Mean							
Med					6	5	4	4		4	4	4	4		4	5	4	4	5	4	<-Median							
Ct/Tot	13/21	10/21	1/21	2/21	1.65 Avg. hours studied/ credit hour (4)																							
	1	0	0	0	3	5	3	3	I started off the semester very prepared and engaged but my performance slipped as the semester went on for various reasons.	3	3	3	4	This course was pretty unstructured, although this is partially because class discussion was a huge part of the curriculum. I would like to see this class center around more lecture-based classes, and maybe have discussions once a week or so. Also, many of the articles were very repetitive, so after reading one or two articles I pretty much knew the rest of the unit.	3	5	3	2	3	3	The instructor was very good at class discussion, but after a while wouldn't call on me because no one else was talking. This did not make me very engaged or interested in the subject. In a class that is nothing but discussion, what is the point of coming if you're not allowed to talk after a while?							
	1	0	0	0	9	5	5	4	I came to class all but once in which the professor was notified. I also did the homework before class and came prepared. Sometimes I didn't have time to finish all of the reading. I participated all the time in class through small groups and occasionally through large group.	5	4	5	5	Less reading. I had more reading in this course than my other courses combined, even more than Paideia! I would also like to see more interactive activities in which the students lead more class discussions. The readings were interesting, but I would choose an article or two per class instead of more.	4	5	4	5	5	5	Gokhan was an excellent professor to teach this class! He is very knowledgeable in the subject and is very passionate about it. He allowed for students to ask questions and to reflect on the material. Once he found out that he was going to Turkey, the class kind of died out a little bit.							
	0	1	0	0	6	4	3	3	I could have been more actively engaged in participation, however I still do feel like I learned quite a bit from reading material outside of class. This class has reinforced my interest in sociology as a major	3	4	5	4	I feel class discussion was very useful for absorbing knowledge, however, I believe there was too much emphasis on textbook reading outside of class. Gokhan could have provided more lecture based classes as I learn better in that kind of setting.	3	4	3	2	2	3								
	1	0	0	0	3	2	3	3	I do not like to talk in class	4	3	3	4	There was a lot of discussion. Sometimes this was good, but I would have preferred knowing this was going to be more like a seminar rather than a lecture based course.	3	4	3	2	4	4	I feel the discussion was beneficial. I think that he let the class teach each other rather than taking charge as most professors do. He could have been more assertive in making it clear what were the important parts of the article he wanted to test us on. Instead we only really focused on what the class suggested we discuss.							
	0	1	0	1	6	5	4	4	I am mostly engaged in the class discussion. From the discussion, I push myself more in surpassing my potential.	5	5	4	5	More class lecture would be great because sometime we do not know what is going to be on the exam.	5	5	5	5	5	4	The instructor considers all opinions which come from the students. His sense of humour makes the class does not seem boring. For the opportunities, I would say have more lectures from him.							
	0	1	0	0	5	5	3	4	I did majority of readings and responses for the readings. A lot of work without much point, learned what I would have even if I had read. Participated, did the work, learned a lot	4	5	3	4	Strength was Gokhan. Weakness was organization. Seemed to be book club-esque but was taught so well that this really was not all that bad.	4	5	4	4	5	5	I give Gokhan a 9.7/10. He was such an excellent instructor and rose questions that were very thought provoking. He made me very interested in this subject.							
	1	1	0	1	6	5	5	5	I did all of the readings and was willing to participate in class.	5	4	4	4	Most of the readings were VERY repetitive. So after awhile it became a drag to attend class.	4	5	5	5	5	3	Gokhan is really knowledgeable but I feel like this could have been a 7 week course.							
	1	1	0	0	18	5	4	5	This course has allowed me to understand education on the sociological level and had also showed me that our society needs to be changed.	5	5	4	4	Kept class engaged and ready to learn new material	3	4	4	4	3	4	Kept class interested							

CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas															CE-Report for 61288-SOC-283-A (2014SP) Sociology of Education - Gokhan Savas															
	Student									Course					Instructor										Additional Questions					
Total	1. I took this course				1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree					1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree					1=Strongly Disagree->5=Strongly Agree															
21	a. purely as an elective	b. to meet an all-college requirement	c. to satisfy a major or minor requirement	d. to satisfy an elective within a major or minor	2. Approximately how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?	3. Before taking this course, I was interested in the subject.	4. I attended class regularly.	5. I was consistently prepared for class.	6. Please reflect on your performance as a student (level of engagement, working to potential, active participation):	7. The course objectives were clearly stated.	8. The course stimulated my interest in the subject.	9. The course challenged me.	10. I believe I learned a great deal in this course.	11. Please reflect on the strengths of the course and areas for improvement. Your comments may include multiple aspects of the course: class discussions, labs or demonstrations, lectures, readings, case studies, creative work, specific assignments, etc.	12. The instructor made effective use of class time.	13. The instructor provided opportunities in class for questions, comments, and/or reflection.	14a. Feedback provided by the instructor was valuable.	14b. Feedback provided by the instructor was timely.	15. The instructor was accessible outside of class.	16. Overall, the instructor was effective in teaching this class.	17. Please explain your overall rating of the instructor in question 16, including the instructor's strengths and opportunities for improvement:									
	Mean	Response rate = 84.00%				6.60	4.29	4.14	4.14		4.29	4.10	3.86	4.24		3.86	4.67	4.14	3.95	4.29	4.14	<-Mean								
Med					6	5	4	4		4	4	4	4		4	5	4	4	5	4	<-Median									
Ct/Tot	13/21	10/21	1/21	2/21	1.65 Avg. hours studied/ credit hour (4)																									
	1	0	0	0	4	4	5	4	I did readings and participated in smallgroup discussions but it was hard to participate in class discussions sometimes.	3	3	3	3	There were alot of readings that made it confusing to follow along because they overlapped. It was also always the same thing every class period which got very repetitive.	3	4	3	4	3	3	I feel readings were over assigned and class discussions sometimes went off on tangents about unrelated class things. There werent always clear guidlines set out for expectations on assignments.									
	1	0	0	0	9	4	4	4	I read the majority of the readings thoroughly, and actively participated in class much of the time. I thought a lot of the information we read was really interesting.	4	5	4	5	Strengths: Lots of good class discussion, and the articles were interesting and enlightening. Midterm was challenging but not excessively so. Could improve: I liked the class discussions, but I think the course as a whole could have benefitted from some lecture. A lot of the time I felt like I could just read the articles and then skip class because some days the discussion was a bit of a struggle when people didn't want to participate. Also, I think max of two articles a day would be more reasonable, unless the articles are ten pages are less. There were some days when we would have three lengthy articles, and I just would end up skimming them. Also, more organization as far as tests would be appreciated. Since we didn't get the study guide until the class before the midterm, that didn't give much time to study intensively.	4	5	4	4	4	4	Professor was really fun and engaging, and chose interesting articles that inspired critical thinking. Wish he would've lectured more, because I would be really interested to know his take on the readings and information. More organization when it comes to tests and papers would be appreciated.									
	0	1	0	0	10	5	5	5	At the beginning of the semester, I did not engage in classroom discussion as much as I would have liked, however, as the semester progressed, I thought I was engaged and participated a good amount. I definitely spent many hours a week working on the response papers!	5	4	4	5	I enjoyed that this course was discussion based. I thought picking out key words from each article and then elaborating on such words was beneficial. I also enjoyed that we could incorporate our personal experiences with education into discussion. I thought the homework load was too heavy--too many articles.	4	5	4	4	5	5	I really enjoyed taking Sociology of Education with Gokhan. He had lots of energy every day and really encouraged us to become engaged in discussion.									
	0	1	0	0	6	3	4	5	I participated in class frequently and read all of the assigned articles thoroughly. I submitted every reading response and believe I worked my hardest throughout the semester in this class.	4	4	3	5	I learned a lot in this class. I think the readings helped a lot. However, I think there was a bit too much reading for a 200 level class. I also would have liked to do something else in class every once in a while instead of getting in groups to discuss the readings everyday. Overall I thought the class was decently structured and provided a lot of new information.	4	5	5	5	5	5	I could tell that he was very knowledgeable on this subject, which helped motivate me to learn more about it. He was very quick to respond to emails outside of class, which was very helpful. As a teacher I don't think there were many areas for improvement because overall he did a great job teaching this class.									
	1	0	0	0	6	2	5	5	I was constantly prepared for class	5	3	4	5	The course has some very interesting readings, but many are repetitive	5	5	5	5	5	5	He is a very exciting and has great knowledge of the topic									
	0	1	0	0	8	5	3	4	I participated in class, I always contributed and shared my opinions with the class, I read the assigned readings, and took notes in class	4	3	3	3	The daily discussions were really interesting, some of the readings were very interesting. However many of the readings were redudants and so the responses were pretty much the same and at times it was a lot of readings	4	4	4	4	4	4	He engaged the class and encouraged everyone to participate. He made time for people to ask questions and to discuss, he challenged us to think critically and apply what we learned to real life									
																			</											

